Qualitative Considerations in the Intellectual Property Valuation

INTRODUCTION

Legal counsel often retain specialized valuation analysts (analysts) to value their client's intellectual property (IP) for various litigation-related reasons. These reasons often include breach of contract claims (including breach of commercialization, development, license, or joint venture agreements) and tort claims (including infringement, tortious interference with business opportunity, or breach of fiduciary duty claims). These litigation-related reasons also include family-law disputes, tax disputes (including gift and estate tax, income tax, and property tax conflicts), and bankruptcy disputes (including creditor protection, solvency and insolvency, and reasonably equivalent value issues). In addition, legal counsel may retain analysts to value client IP for various transaction, taxation, financing, financial accounting, or corporate governance purposes. This discussion summarizes many of the factors that the analyst considers in the IP valuation process.

Depending on the legal counsel's assignment, analysts often define IP broadly to include both (1) patents, trademarks, copyrights, and trade secrets, and (2) associated intangible assets. Such IP typically creates proprietary knowledge and processes for the corporate owner/operator. This proprietary knowledge or process may be either developed by or purchased by the corporate owner/operator. In order for an IP to have measurable value, it should provide, or have the potential to provide, a competitive advantage or a product differentiation.

For the various litigation and other reasons mentioned above, legal counsel may retain the analyst to value the following types of IP:

- Patents
- Patent applications
- Patentable inventions
- Trade secrets
- Know-how
- Proprietary processes
- Proprietary product recipes or formulae
- Confidential information
- Copyrights on technical materials such as computer software, technical manuals, and automated databases
- Trademarks, trade names, service marks, service names, trade dress
- Domain names

The analyst's valuation considerations summarized herein are relevant both when the counsel's client owns or inbound/outbound licenses the subject IP.

UNDERSTANDING THE SUBJECT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTRIBUTES

Before performing any quantitative valuation procedures, the analyst will endeavor to understand the attributes of the subject IP. The analyst may qualitatively assess the subject IP attributes by considering the following questions:

- 1. What are the property rights related to the IP? What are the functional attributes of the IP?
- 2. What are the operational or economic benefits of the IP to its current owner/operator? Will those operational or economic benefits be any different if the IP is in the hands of a third-party owner/operator?
- 3. What is the current utility of the IP? How will this utility change in response to changes in the relevant market conditions? How will this



ROBERT F. REILLY, CPA

Willamette Management Associates Chicago, IL rfreilly@willamette.com

- utility change over time? What industry, competitive, economic, or technological factors will cause the IP utility to change over time?
- 4. Is the IP typically owned or operated as a stand-alone asset? Or is the IP typically owned or operated as (a) part of a bundle with other tangible assets or intangible assets or (b) part of a going-concern business enterprise?
- 5. Does the IP utility (however measured) depend on the operation of tangible assets or other intangible assets or the operation of a business enterprise?
- 6. What is the IP's highest and best use (HABU)?
- 7. How does the IP affect the income of the owner/operator? This inquiry may include consideration of all aspects of the owner/operator's revenue, expense, and investments.

Continued on next page

expert TIP

Legal counsel may retain an analyst to value their client's IP for various litigation or other controversy-related purposes. In addition, legal counsel may ask the analyst to value the client's IP for various transaction, taxation, financing, or other purposes.

FINANCIAL VALUATION - Intellectual Property Valuation, continued

- 8. How does the IP affect the risk (both operational risk and financial risk) of the owner/operator?
- 9. How does the IP affect the competitive strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats of the owner/operator?
- 10.Where does the IP fall within its own life cycle, the overall life cycle of the owner/operator, the life cycle of the owner/operator industry, and the technology life cycle of both competing IP and substitute IP?

Such inquiries give the analyst a starting point for understanding (1) the use and function of the subject IP and (2) the attributes that create IP value. This understanding will allow the analyst to select the most appropriate IP valuation approaches, methods, and procedures.

FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY VALUE

Numerous factors may affect the subject IP value. Industry, product, and service considerations may provide a wide range of positive and negative influences on the IP value. To the extent possible, the analyst will qualitatively or quantitatively assess each of these influence considerations. **Table 1** on the following page presents some of the attributes that the analyst normally considers in the IP valuation process and also indicates how these attributes may influence the subject IP value.

Not all of the Table 1 attributes apply to the valuation of every IP, and each attribute does not have an equal influence on the IP value. However, the analyst will typically consider each of these attributes as part of the IP valuation analysis. The analyst may document each attribute separately in the valuation analysis working papers, or the analyst may assess these attributes collectively as one component of the IP valuation analysis. These considerations allow the analyst to assess the influence of these attributes, either positive or negative, on the subject IP value.

Some of the other factors that the



analyst normally considers in the valuation process include (1) the legal rights associated with the subject IP, (2) the industry in which the IP is used, (3) the economic characteristics of the IP, (4) the reliance of the IP owner/operator on tangible assets or other intangible assets, and (5) the expected impact of regulatory policies or other external factors on the commercial viability or marketability of the subject IP.

SUMMARY

Legal counsel may retain an analyst to value their client's IP for various litigation or other controversy-related pur-

poses. In addition, legal counsel may ask the analyst to value the client's IP for various transaction, taxation, financing, or other purposes. In such instances, the analyst will consider the purpose of the counsel's valuation assignment as well as the relevant factors specific to the subject IP. In such valuation assignments, the analyst will perform these qualitative procedures before performing the quantitative valuation analyses. This discussion considered the types of IP that may be analyzed, the typical attributes of the IP, and the typical factors that the analyst evaluates when assessing IP value. so Table 1 on next page



ATTRIBUTES THAT INFLUENCE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY VALUE

Influence on the Subject Intellectual Property Value

ITE	M ATTRIBUTES	POSITIVE	NEGATIVE
1	Age - absolute	Newly created, state-of-the-art IP	Long-established, dated IP
2	Age - relative	Newer than competing IP	Older than competing IP
3	Use - consistency	IP proven or used consistently on products and services	IP unproven or used inconsistently on products and services
4	Use - specificity	IP can be used on a broad range of products and services	IP can be used only on a narrow range of products and services
5	Use - industry	IP can be used in a wide range of industries	IP can be used only in a narrow range of industries
6	Potential for expansion	Unrestricted ability to use IP on new or different products and services	Restricted ability to use IP on new or different products and services
7	Potential for exploitation	Unrestricted ability to license IP into new industries and uses	Restricted ability to license IP into new industries and uses
8	Proven use	IP has proven application	IP does not have proven application
9	Proven exploitation	IP has been commercially licensed	IP has not been commercially licensed
10	Profitability - absolute	Profit margins or investment returns on related products and services higher than industry average	Profit margins or investment returns on related products and services lower than industry average
11	Profitability - relative	Profit margins or investment returns on related products and services higher than competing IP	Profit margins or investment returns on related products and services lower than competing IP
12	Expense of continued development	Low cost to maintain the IP as state-of-the-art	High cost to maintain the IP as state-of-the-art
13	Expense of commercialization	Low cost of bringing the IP to commercial exploitation	High cost of bringing the IP to commercial exploitation
14	Means of commercialization	Numerous means available to commercialize the IP	Few means available to commercialize the IP
15	Market share - absolute market share	Products and services using the IP have high market share	Products and services using the IP have low market share
16	Market share - relative to competing IP	Products and services using the IP have higher share than competing IP	Products and services using the IP have lower market share than competing IP
17	Market potential - absolute	Products and services using the IP are in an expanding market	Products and services using the IP are in a contracting market
18	Market potential - relative	Market for products and services using IP expanding faster than competing IP	Market for products and services using IP expanding slower than competing IP
19	Competition	Little or no competition for the IP	Considerable established competition for the IP
20	Perceived demand	Perceived currently unfilled need for the IP	Little or no perceived need for the IP
E \	UF Issue 51	October/November 2014	Page 12