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Discussion Outline

- Introduction of discussion topics
- Description of 10 common reasons to conduct a bankruptcy valuation (with specific references to the applicable Bankruptcy Code sections and Bankruptcy Rules)
- Descriptions of 10 current analytical issues that practitioners face in performing bankruptcy valuations
- Explanation of 10 caveats for valuation analysts performing bankruptcy valuations
- Summary and conclusion; questions and discussion
10 Common Bankruptcy Valuation Services

1. Preference actions solvency analysis (Section 547)
2. Fraudulent transfers solvency analysis (Section 548)
3. Asset sale price and creditor adequate protection analysis (Section 363)
4. Adequate protection of a creditor’s interest (Section 361)
5. Analysis of whether the value of a secured creditor’s claim is fully secured (Rules 3012 and 3018)
6. Confirmation of the reorganization plan (Section 1129)
7. Cram down of the reorganization plan (Section 1129)
8. Secured creditor relief from the automatic stay (Section 362)
9. Collateral value for DIP financing
10. Debtor corporation director duties and the zone of insolvency
Bankruptcy Code Section 547
Preference Claims and Debtor Solvency

In a Chapter 11 matter, the trustee may seek to avoid any “preference payments” from the debtor company:

(b) Except as provided in subsections (c) and (i) of this section, the trustee may avoid any transfer of an interest of the debtor in property –

1. to or for the benefit of a creditor;
2. for or on account of an antecedent debt owed by the debtor before such transfer was made;
3. made while the debtor was insolvent; . . .

(f) For the purposes of this section, the debtor is presumed to have been insolvent on and during the 90 days immediately preceding the date of the filing of the petition.
Bankruptcy Code Section 548
Fraudulent Transfers and Debtor Solvency

In a Chapter 11 matter, the trustee may seek to avoid “fraudulent transfers” from the debtor company:

(a)(1) The trustee may avoid any transfer (including any transfer to or for the benefit of an insider under an employment contract) of an interest of the debtor in property, or any obligation (including any obligation to or for the benefit of an insider under an employment contract) incurred by the debtor, that was made or incurred on or within 2 years before the date of the filing of the petition, if the debtor voluntarily or involuntarily—

   (A) made such transfer or incurred such obligation with actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud any entity to which the debtor was or became, on or after the date that such transfer was made or such obligation was incurred, indebted; or
   (B)(i) received less than a reasonably equivalent value in exchange for such transfer or obligation; and
   (ii) (I) was insolvent on the date that such transfer was made or such obligation was incurred, or became insolvent as a result of such transfer or obligation;
Bankruptcy Code Section 548
Fraudulent Transfers and Debtor Solvency
(cont.)

(II) was engaged in business or a transaction, or was about to engage in business or a transaction, for which any property remaining with the debtor was an unreasonably small capital;

(III) intended to incur, or believed that the debtor would incur, debts that would be beyond the debtor's ability to pay as such debts matured; or

(IV) made such transfer to or for the benefit of an insider, or incurred such obligation to or for the benefit of an insider, under an employment contract and not in the ordinary course of business.
Bankruptcy Code Section 101
Definition of “Insolvent”

Unlike the three-part test for fraudulent transfers, insolvency is a one-part test:

(32) The term "insolvent" means—

(A) with reference to an entity other than a partnership and a municipality, financial condition such that the sum of such entity's debts is greater than all of such entity's property, at a fair valuation, exclusive of—

(i) property transferred, concealed, or removed with intent to hinder, delay, or defraud such entity's creditors; and

(ii) property that may be exempted from property of the estate under section 522 of this title;
Bankruptcy Code Section 101
Definition of “Insolvent” (cont.)

(B) with reference to a partnership, financial condition such that the sum of such partnership's debts is greater than the aggregate of, at a fair valuation—

(i) all of such partnership's property, exclusive of property of the kind specified in subparagraph (A)(i) of this paragraph; and

(ii) the sum of the excess of the value of each general partner's nonpartnership property, exclusive of property of the kind specified in subparagraph (A) of this paragraph, over such partner's nonpartnership debts; and

(C) with reference to a municipality, financial condition such that the municipality is—

(i) generally not paying its debts as they become due unless such debts are the subject of a bona fide dispute; or

(ii) unable to pay its debts as they become due.
Bankruptcy Code Section 363
Asset Sales and Adequate Protection

The trustee must ensure that any sales of DIP assets are fair to the bankruptcy stakeholders:

(b)(1) The trustee, after notice and a hearing, may use, sell, or lease, other than in the ordinary course of business, property of the estate.

(c)(1) If the business of the debtor is authorized to be operated under section 721, 1108, 1203, 1204, or 1304 of this title and unless the court orders otherwise, the trustee may enter into transactions, including the sale or lease of property of the estate, in the ordinary course of business, without notice or a hearing, and may use property of the estate in the ordinary course of business without notice or a hearing.

(p) In any hearing under this section—

(1) the trustee has the burden of proof on the issue of adequate protection; and

(2) the entity asserting an interest in property has the burden of proof on the issue of the validity, priority, or extent of such interest.
Bankruptcy Code Section 361
Decrease in Value of Creditor’s Interest

If an event (e.g., a Section 363 sale) causes a decrease in a creditor’s interest in debtor property, the creditor will receive cash or an additional lien on debtor property:

Section 361
When adequate protection is required under section 362, 363, or 364 of this title of an interest of an entity in property, such adequate protection may be provided by—

(1) requiring the trustee to make a cash payment or periodic cash payments to such entity, to the extent that the stay under section 362 of this title, use, sale, or lease under section 363 of this title, or any grant of a lien under section 364 of this title results in a decrease in the value of such entity’s interest in such property;
Bankruptcy Code Section 361
Decrease in Value of Creditor’s Interest (cont.)

(2) providing to such entity an additional or replacement lien to the extent that such stay, use, sale, lease, or grant results in a decrease in the value of such entity’s interest in such property; or

(3) granting such other relief, other than entitling such entity to compensation allowable under section 503 (b)(1) of this title as an administrative expense, as will result in the realization by such entity of the indubitable equivalent of such entity’s interest in such property.
Bankruptcy Rules Regarding a Secured Creditor’s Interest

The court may hold a valuation hearing when there is a question about the value of a creditor’s security interest in the debtor company:

Rule 3012 Valuation of Security

The court may determine the value of a claim secured by a lien on property in which the estate has an interest on motion of any party in interest and after a hearing on notice to the holder of the secured claim and any other entity as the court may direct.
Bankruptcy Rules Regarding a Secured Creditor’s Interest (cont.)

- The value of a secured creditor’s interest is important with regard to the approval (or rejection) of the proposed plan of reorganization:

  Rule 3018 Acceptance or Rejection of Plan in a Chapter 9 (Municipality) or a Chapter 11 (Reorganization) Case

(a) Entities Entitled To Accept or Reject Plan; Time for Acceptance or Rejection. A plan may be accepted or rejected in accordance with § 1126 of the Code within the time fixed by the court pursuant to Rule 3017.

(d) Acceptance or Rejection by Partially Secured Creditor. A creditor whose claim has been allowed in part as a secured claim and in part as an unsecured claim shall be entitled to accept or reject a plan in both capacities.
Bankruptcy Code Section 560
Determination of Secured Creditor Status

Secured creditors want to prove that the value of their security interest is fully secured—i.e., greater than the debtor’s liability to them:

(a) (1) An allowed claim of a creditor secured by a lien on property in which the estate has an interest, or that is subject to setoff under section 553 of this title, is a secured claim to the extent of the value of such creditor's interest in the estate's interest in such property, or to the extent of the amount subject to setoff, as the case may be, and is an unsecured claim to the extent that the value of such creditor's interest or the amount so subject to set off is less than the amount of such allowed claim. Such value shall be determined in light of the purpose of the valuation and of the proposed disposition or use of such property, and in conjunction with any hearing on such disposition or use or on a plan affecting such creditor's interest.
Bankruptcy Code Section 560
Determination of Secured Creditor Status (cont.)

(b) To the extent that an allowed secured claim is secured by property the value of which, after any recovery under subsection (c) of this section, is greater than the amount of such claim, there shall be allowed to the holder of such claim, interest on such claim, and any reasonable fees, costs, or charges provided for under the agreement or State statute under which such claim arose.
Bankruptcy Code Section 1129
Reorganization Plan Confirmation

Valuation analysts are often asked to opine on the proposed plan of reorganization:

(a) The court shall confirm a plan only if all of the following requirements are met: . . .

(7) With respect to each impaired class of claims or interests—
(A) each holder of a claim or interest of such class—
(i) has accepted the plan; or
(ii) will receive or retain under the plan on account of such claim or interest property of a value, as of the effective date of the plan, that is not less than the amount that such holder would so receive or retain if the debtor were liquidated under chapter 7 of this title on such date; . . .

(11) Confirmation of the plan is not likely to be followed by the liquidation, or the need for further financial reorganization, of the debtor or any successor to the debtor under the plan, unless such liquidation or reorganization is proposed in the plan. . .
Bankruptcy Code Section 1129
Reorganization Plan Confirmation (cont.)

(16) All transfers of property of the plan shall be made in accordance with any applicable provisions of nonbankruptcy law that govern the transfer of property by a corporation or trust that is not a moneyed, business, or commercial corporation or trust.

(b)(1) Notwithstanding section 510(a) of this title, if all of the applicable requirements of subsection (a) of this section other than paragraph (8) are met with respect to a plan, the court, on request of the proponent of the plan, shall confirm the plan notwithstanding the requirements of such paragraph if the plan does not discriminate unfairly, and is fair and equitable, with respect to each class of claims or interests that is impaired under, and has not accepted, the plan.
Bankruptcy Code Section 1129
Reorganization Plan Confirmation (cont.)

(2) For the purpose of this subsection, the condition that a plan be fair and equitable with respect to a class includes the following requirements:

(A) With respect to a class of secured claims, the plan provides—

(i) that the holders of such claims retain the liens securing such claims, whether the property subject to such liens is retained by the debtor or transferred to another entity, to the extent of the allowed amount of such claims; and

(ii) that each holder of a claim of such class receive on account of such claim deferred cash payments totaling at least the allowed amount of such claim, of a value, as of the effective date of the plan, of at least the value of such holder's interest in the estate's interest in such property;
Bankruptcy Code Section 1129
Cram Down of the Reorganization Plan

Valuation analysts are often asked to opine on whether the proposed reorganization plan is “fair and equitable”:

Another requirement for reorganization plan confirmation is that, with respect to each class of claims, (1) such class has accepted the plan, or (2) such class is not impaired under the plan. If all the requirements for plan confirmation are met except for this one, the plan can still be confirmed if the plan does not discriminate unfairly, and is fair and equitable with respect to each class of claims or interests that is impaired under, and has not accepted the plan.

This is known as a cram down.
Bankruptcy Code Section 362 Secured Creditor Relief from Automatic Stay

After the bankruptcy filing, there is an “automatic stay” of the creditors’ ability to collect on prepetition debts:

Section 362(d)(1) and (2)

On request of a party in interest and after notice and a hearing, the court shall grant relief from the [automatic] stay . . ., such as by terminating, annulling, modifying, or conditioning such stay—

(1) for cause, including the lack of adequate protection of an interest in property of such party in interest;

(2) with respect to a stay of an act against property . . ., if—

(A) the debtor does not have an equity in such property; and

(B) such property is not necessary to an effective reorganization. . .
DIP Financing

- A debtor’s ability to borrow is limited in Chapter 11. Without court authorization, a debtor company can only incur ordinary course of business trade debt that will be allowed as an administrative expense in the bankruptcy case.

- The **court can authorize the obtaining of credit** secured by a senior or equal lien on encumbered property of the estate **only if** (1) the debtor is unable to obtain credit otherwise and (2) **there is adequate protection of the interest of the holder of the lien on the property** on which such senior or equal lien is proposed to be granted.

- This debt is usually referred to as DIP financing.
Bankruptcy Code Section 101
Definition of “Intellectual Property”

In DIP financing, the only unencumbered debtor company assets available to pledge as collateral may be the debtor’s IP assets:

(35A) The term "intellectual property" means—

(A) trade secret;
(B) invention, process, design, or plant protected under title 35;
(C) patent application;
(D) plant variety;
(E) work of authorship protected under title 17; or
(F) mask work protected under chapter 9 of title 17;

to the extent protected by applicable nonbankruptcy law.
The Zone of Insolvency and Debtor Corporation Director Duties

- **Directors** of a debtor corporation **owe a duty** of loyalty, care, and good faith **to the corporation and its shareholders**.

- But **when a corporation approaches the zone of insolvency**, under the laws of most states, the **directors owe those duties to the creditors, too**.

- In such a case, the creditors (and not just the shareholders) have standing to assert breach of fiduciary duty claims on the company’s behalf.
Current Issues in Bankruptcy Valuations

1. **There is no Bankruptcy Code definition (or standard) of the term “value”**
   - Analysts sometimes use fair value, fair market value, market value, other standards of value
   - Bankruptcy Code Section 506 provides that “value shall be determined in light of the purpose of the valuation and of the proposed disposition or use of such property, and in conjunction with any hearing on such disposition or use or on a plan affecting such creditor’s interest.”

2. **The use of hindsight in the valuation is discouraged**
   - The courts seem to adopt the so-called known or knowable rule
   - There is usually a controversy over when actual events (favorable or unfavorable) would have been known or knowable
Current Issues in Bankruptcy Valuations (cont.)

3. The analyst’s reliance on management-prepared financial projections if often questioned

- How contemporaneous are the projections to the valuation date?
- Were projections prepared after the valuation date but the variables were still known or knowable?
- Were the various versions of management projections?
- What was the purpose for which the management projections were prepared?
- How skilled was management in preparing projections?
- How reliable is the selected set of management projections?
- Should the analyst use various projection scenarios?
Current Issues in Bankruptcy Valuations (cont.)

4. The analysts’ selection of valuation variables is often questioned

• Should variables reflect the current state of the debtor?
• Should variables reflect the reorganized state of the debtor?
• Should variables reflect a willing buyer or the industry average assumptions?
• How does the assumed condition of the debtor affect the $k_d$, $k_e$, debt/equity ratio, or WACC?
• How does the assumed condition of the debtor affects the expected LT growth rate?
• Should the selected discount rate relate to the business risk of the debtor company or to the performance risk of the specific projections?
Current Issues in Bankruptcy Valuations (cont.)

5. Current interest rates may be considered unreasonably low
   - Low risk-free rate affects the ke
   - Low corporate bond interest rates affect the kd
   - Can the debtor actually realize such low capital costs?
   - Does an understated WACC overstate the debtor value?

6. The reasonableness of the analyst’s due diligence is often questioned
   - Contemporaneous valuation versus retrospective valuation
   - Was there access to debtor management and other parties?
   - Parties’ memories and perceptions often change over time
   - Limited debtor company documents may be available
   - Industry research may be subject to various interpretations
   - “Hindsight is always 20/20”
Current Issues in Bankruptcy Valuations (cont.)

7. Consider all of the income tax effects on the debtor value
   • Debtor’s **effective** income tax rate
   • Debtor’s income tax expense
   • Value of deferred income tax assets or liabilities
   • **Use of NOLs** and other debtor income tax attributes
   • Change of ownership may affect the debtor’s **tax attributes**
   • Change of ownership may affect the debtor’s asset **tax basis**
Current Issues in Bankruptcy Valuations (cont.)

8. Use of industry valuation rules of thumb is often questioned
   - Rule of thumb financial pricing multiples
   - Rule of thumb operational pricing multiples
   - Rule of thumb values of intangible assets/contingent liabilities (e.g., capitalization of debtor operating leases)
   - Rules of thumb for individual financial projection variables
   - Rules of thumb assume the average company in the industry
   - If valid, rules of thumb should be supported by empirical data
9. **Performing the cash flow test within a solvency analysis**
   - Inclusion of any *new debt* or *new equity* capital during the cash flow projection period
   - Consideration of debtor’s current *credit availability* during projection period
   - Consideration of any *asset sales* during the projection period
   - Consider *longest term* debt outstanding in projection period
   - Consider debt *balloon payments* later in the projection period
Current Issues in Bankruptcy Valuations (cont.)

10. Use of the market approach in an inactive market is often questioned
   - There are no *sufficiently comparable* public companies
   - There are no *sufficiently comparable* M&A transactions
   - There is no *current market* for the sale of the debtor company (assets or securities)
   - How reliable are “backsolve method” *actual sale transactions* in the debtor company securities
Analyst Caveats in Performing Bankruptcy Valuations

1. Request and accept legal counsel advice and instructions
   - Document all legal instructions
   - Document all legal definitions
   - Don’t practice law without a license
   - Let legal counsel take responsibility for legal issues

2. Lawyers are not always fully forthcoming to the valuation analyst
   - Be aware of any creeping commitments regarding the scope of the engagement
   - Be aware of any limitations regarding access to all of the documents in the case
Analyst Caveats in Performing Bankruptcy Valuations (cont.)

3. **Document, document, document**
   - Document all of the management and other party interviews
   - Document all of the *due diligence procedures* performed
   - Document why you selected/rejected *valuation methods*
   - Document why you selected/rejected *valuation variables*
   - Document why you selected/rejected *financial projections*
   - Use contemporaneously prepared financial projections relied on by others, if possible

4. **Use generally accepted valuation approaches, methods, and procedures**
   - Don’t use de novo *valuation methods* (or naming conventions)
   - Don’t rely on *rules of thumb* as value indications
Analyst Caveats in Performing Bankruptcy Valuations (cont.)

5. Use confirmatory valuation approaches and methods
   • Explain your valuation synthesis and conclusion process

6. Use confirmatory documents, if possible
   • Look for confirmatory documents
   • Look for contradictory documents
   • Explain your selection of the documents you relied on
   • Look at all the documents that were available to you
   • Don’t wear “hindsight blinders” in reviewing documents

7. Consider all debtor company intangible assets in the valuation

Consider all debtor company contingent liabilities in the valuation

Consider the expected income tax affects in all
Analyst Caveats in Performing Bankruptcy Valuations (cont.)

8. Consider the expected income tax affects in all valuation (and other solvency) analyses
   • Consult an income tax expert, if needed

9. In bankruptcy litigation, your expert report is your best friend
   • The report should be clear, convincing, and cogent
   • The report should be replicable and transparent
   • The report should be supported with source documents
   • “If it’s not in the report, you didn’t do it”
10. **Know the limitations of your expertise, rely on specialists when needed**

- Industry experts
- Tax accounting experts
- Financing accounting experts
- Real estate appraisal experts
- Personal property appraisal experts
- Other experts
Summary and Conclusion

- Common bankruptcy valuation services
- Current bankruptcy valuation issues
- Bankruptcy valuation analyst caveats
- Questions and discussion